whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas

Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

**Authorized Officer** 

Lapeyrere, J

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2333



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/050620

				10/584814
	Box	No	. I Basis of the opinion	APZORECO PODETO 27 HIN 2006
1.	With the I	reç ang	gard to the <b>language</b> , this opini guage in which it was filed, unle	on has been established on the basis of the international application in ss otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	s opinion has been established guage , which is the languag der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following e of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search
2.	With	reç essa	gard to any <b>nucleotide and/or</b> ary to the claimed invention, thi	amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sopinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe (	of material:	
	C	3	a sequence listing	
		3	table(s) related to the sequenc	e listing .
	b. fo	rma	at of material:	
	C	כ	in written format	
		ב	in computer readable form	
	c. tii	me	of filing/furnishing:	
	[	]	contained in the international a	application as filed.
	[		filed together with the internati	onal application in computer readable form.
	0	_	furnished subsequently to this	Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		ha	s been filed or furnished, the re	than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto quired statements that the information in the subsequent or additional oplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as

	Box	No. II	Priority						
1. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:									
☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7									
		Conse	quently it has not be heless been establis	en possib shed on the	le to conside assumpti	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
4.	Add	additional observations, if necessary:							
		x No. V ustrial				bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement			
1.	Sta	tement							
	Nov	velty (N	)	Yes:	Claims	17-20			
				No:	Claims	1-16, 21-35			
	Inve	entive s	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	17-20			
				No:	Claims	1-16, 21-35			
	Ind	ustrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-35			
				No:	Claims				
2.	Cita	ations a	nd explanations						
	see	separ	ate sheet						
_	Во	x No. V	III Certain observ	ations or	the inter	national application			

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

AP20 Res's 1517710 27 JUN 2006

## Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 94/21372 A (DU PONT) 29 September 1994 (1994-09-29)

### **INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1**

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): microfluidic connection, comprising

a carrier element (figure 5, 300) comprising a microfluidic channel (figure 5, 260A) fixed between a feeding element (figure 5, 20) and a backplate (figure 5, 400), the feeding element (figure 5, 20) comprising a channel (figure 5, 20v) adopted for feeding a fluid into the microfluidic channel (figure 5, 260A).

#### **DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-35**

- 2. Dependent claims 2-16 and 21-35 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being that since they are already disclosed in docment D1 or are part of the normal practice for the person skilled in the art.
- 3. The combination of the features of dependent claims 17 to 20 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.

# Re Item VIII

## Certain observations on the international application

- 4. Term "any one of the above claims" used in dependent claims 5,8, 9, 7, 12, 29, 30 33, 33 is unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to which said claims refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).
- 5. Moreover the dependency of the claims 5, 7, 8, 16 to 20 is not clear (Article 6 PCT).